



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,932	06/27/2001	Niels Beier	PW 0280172 P-11669	3851
7590 12/29/2004 PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP 1600 TYSONS BOULEVARD MCLEAN, VA 22102			EXAMINER DAVIS, CYNTHIA L	
			ART UNIT 2665	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/892,932

Applicant(s)

BEIER, NIELS

Examiner

Cynthia L Davis

Art Unit

2665

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/26/2002</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leung in view of Davies.

Regarding claim 1, a DHCP server to assign local internet protocol (IP) addresses to devices on a network is disclosed in Leung, column 12, lines 28 and 29. A NAT device to execute network address translation is disclosed in Leung, column 12, lines 27-28. A packet device to receive packets is disclosed in column 12, line 24 (the router). An addressing device to determine the local destination address of the packets received by the packet device, wherein the addressing device uses an association table created from symbolic names of the devices on the network and the local IP addresses associated with the devices is missing from Leung. However, Davies discloses in column 1, lines 61-62, a DNS server that translates symbolic names into IP addresses on a LAN. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to use a DNS server to perform addressing functions. The motivation would be to use a common method of address translation (Davies, column 1, lines 44-47).

Regarding claim 6, using DHCP address assignments to determine a local destination address of a received packet in a NAT environment is disclosed in Leung,

Art Unit: 2665

column 12, lines 24-29 (disclosing a router that has both DHCP and NAT). Assigning local internet Protocol (IP) addresses to devices on a network is disclosed in Leung, column 11, lines 33-35 (the mobile nodes have local IP's on the network). Executing network address translation is disclosed in column 12, lines 27-28. Receiving packets from a remote network and determining the local destination address of the packets received by the packet device is disclosed in figure 4, element 415. Using an association table created from symbolic names of the devices on the network and the local IP addresses associated with the devices is missing from Leung. However, Davies discloses in column 1, lines 61-62, a DNS server, which translates symbolic names into IP addresses on a LAN. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to use a DNS server to perform addressing functions. The motivation would be to use a common method of address translation (Davies, column 1, lines 44-47).

Regarding claim 12, an apparatus for using Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol (DHCP) address assignments to determine a local destination address of a received packet in a Network Address Translation (NAT) environment is disclosed in Leung, column 12, lines 24-29 (disclosing a router that has both DHCP and NAT). A name acquisition device to determine symbolic names of devices on a network is disclosed in column 12, lines 28-29 (a DHCP server). An address acquisition device to determine local Internet Protocol (IP) addresses of the devices on the network is disclosed in Leung, column 12, lines 27-28. A data transfer device to transfer data with a packet receiving device is disclosed in column 12, line 24 (a router). An addressing device to

Art Unit: 2665

determine the local destination address of the packet received by the packet device, wherein the addressing device uses an association table created from the symbolic names of the devices on the network and the local IP addresses associated with the devices is missing from Leung. However, Davies discloses in column 1, lines 61-62, a DNS server, which translates symbolic names into IP addresses on a LAN. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to use a DNS server to perform addressing functions. The motivation would be to use a common method of address translation (Davies, column 1, lines 44-47).

Regarding claim 17, a system for initiating an Internet Protocol (IP) telephony session over a network comprising an IP telephony device is disclosed in column 11, lines 33-35 (the mobile node is an IP telephony device). A packet device to receive packets is disclosed in column 12, line 24 (a router). A DHCP server to assign local IP addresses to devices on the network is disclosed in column 12, lines 28-29. A NAT device to execute network address translation is disclosed in column 12, lines 27-28. An association device to create an association table from symbolic names of the devices on the network and the local IP addresses associated with the devices; and an addressing device to determine, based upon the association table, a local destination address of each of the packets received by the packet device is missing from Leung. However, Davies discloses in column 1, lines 61-62, a DNS server which translates symbolic names into IP addresses on a LAN. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to use a DNS server to perform addressing

Art Unit: 2665

functions. The motivation would be to use a common method of address translation (Davies, column 1, lines 44-47).

Regarding claim 21, an addressing device to use Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol (DHCP) address assignments to determine a local destination address of a received packet in a Network Address Translation Environment is disclosed in Leung, column 12, lines 24-29 (disclosing a router that has both DHCP and NAT). A computer-readable medium, and a computer-readable program code, stored on the computer-readable medium is disclosed in figure 3, elements 361, 362, and 363 (depicting the memory and processor of a router, which would have computer-readable program code). Having instructions to assign local Internet Protocol (IP) addresses to devices on a network is disclosed in column 11, lines 33-35. Executing network address translation is disclosed in column 12, lines 27-28. Receiving remote packets from a remote network is disclosed in figure 4, element 415. Utilizing an association table created from symbolic names of the devices on the network and the local IP addresses associated with the devices, and determine the local destination address of the packets received by the packet device is missing from Leung. However, Davies discloses in column 1, lines 61-62, a DNS server which translates symbolic names into IP addresses on a LAN. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to use a DNS server to perform addressing functions. The motivation would be to use a common method of address translation (Davies, column 1, lines 44-47).

Regarding claims 2, 7, 13, 18, and 22, a router receiving the packets is disclosed in column 12, line 24.

Regarding claims 3, 8, 14, 19, and 23, the router including a DHCP server is disclosed in column 12, lines 28-29.

Regarding claims 4, 9, 15, 20, and 24, the router including a NAT device is disclosed in column 12, lines 27-28.

Regarding claims 5, 10, 16, and 25, determining a symbolic name of a destination address of a device from the packet, utilizing the association table to determine the destination address of the packet, and causing the packet to be sent to the destination address is missing from Leung. However, Davies discloses in column 1, lines 61-62, a DNS server, which translates symbolic names into IP addresses on a LAN. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to use a DNS server to perform addressing functions. The motivation would be to use a common method of address translation (Davies, column 1, lines 44-47).

Regarding claims 11 and 26, the remote network being an Internet is disclosed in column 1, lines 13-14 (the mobile nodes communicate with the internet).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cynthia L Davis whose telephone number is (571) 272-3117. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 to 6, Monday to Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CLD
12/6/2004

CLD
12/6/04



HUY D. VU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600